

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,611	09/30/2005	Kensuke Sasaki	SAH-010USA-PCT	6173
27955 7590 10/17/2007 TOWNSEND & BANTA c/o PORTFOLIO IP PO BOX 52050			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, LAUREN E	
	OLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	•	10/551,611	SASAKI, KENSUKE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lauren E.T. Robinson	4174			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
Period for	• •	/ IO OET TO EVENE A MONE				
WHICH - Extension after SI - If NO per - Failure of Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. A control of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply but it apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. re timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
. 1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	eptember 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ⊤	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
C	losed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	n of Claims		•			
4a 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdravelaim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to restriction and/or elected.					
Application	n Papers		·			
	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10)□ Tr A R	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepplicant may not request that any objection to the elephacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections on the elephacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections of the elephacement drawing sheet(s) including the elephacement drawing sheet(s) includin	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Ao a)⊠ . 1 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau e the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai				
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 4174

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-4, drawn to the methods.

Group 2, claim(s) 5-6, drawn to article.

2. The inventions listed as Groups 1 and 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature that is the same and identified in both groups is an ornament being a cubic (three dimensions) work piece of stone having a polyhedral shape and this technical feature was determined to not be the applicant's contribution over the prior art of Rosenfeld, US Patent No. 4061910.

Rosenfeld teaches an three dimensional (Consider Fig. 7) article with ornamental portions wherein the ornamental portion is shaped into a polyhedron (Col. 10, lines. 10-30). The reference further discloses that the material to make the polyhedron ornamental portion can be made of stone (Col. 9, lines. 30-35).

Art Unit: 4174

Therefore, due to the prior art of Rosenfeld teaching a three dimensional stone ornamental work piece shaped into a polyhedral shape, the applicant's technical feature doesn't provide a contribution over the prior art and the two groups lack unity of invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Donald Townsend on October 4th, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 4174

Effective November 1, 2007, if applicant wishes to present more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims in an application, applicant will be required to file an examination support document (ESD) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 before the first Office action on the merits (hereafter "5/25 claim threshold"). See Changes to Practice for Continued Examination Filings, Patent Applications Containing Patentably Indistinct Claims, and Examination of Claims in Patent Applications, 72 Fed. Reg. 46715 (Aug. 21, 2007), 1322 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 76 (Sept. 11, 2007) (final rule). The changes to 37 CFR 1.75(b) apply to any pending applications in which a first Office action on the merits (FAOM) has not been mailed before November 1, 2007. Withdrawn claims will not be taken into account in determining whether an application exceeds the 5/25 claim threshold. For more information on the final rule, please see http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/presentation/clmcontfinalrule.html.

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in this Office action, applicant is required to file an election responsive to the restriction requirement. Applicant may not file a suggested restriction requirement (SRR) in lieu of an election responsive to the restriction requirement as a reply. A SRR alone will not be considered a *bona-fide* reply to this Office action.

If applicant elects an invention that is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims, applicant will not be required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims. If the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims,

Art Unit: 4174

than 25 total claims.

applicant may file an amendment canceling a number of elected claims so that the elected invention would be drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>on or after</u> November 1, 2007, applicant is also required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims, unless the elected invention is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims. To avoid the abandonment of the application, the ESD (if required) and the election must be filed within **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this Office action. The two-month time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136.

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>before</u> November 1, 2007, the election must be filed within **ONE MONTH** or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this Office action. The time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136. Furthermore, if the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims, the Office will notify applicant and provide a time period in which applicant is required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 covering each of the elected claims or amend the application to contain no more than 5 independent elected claims and no more than 25 total elected claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 4174

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren E.T. Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 270-3474. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. 7:30 to 5:00 EST (First Fri Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on (571) 272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lauren E.T. Robinson Examiner

Art Unit 4174

Gwendoliga Blackwel

Primary Examined